

Trigonometric Interpolation in Hölder Spaces

JÜRGEN PRESTIN

*Sektion Mathematik, Wilhelm-Pieck-Universität
2500 Rostock, East Germany*

Communicated by G. Meinardus

Received May 1, 1985

This note generalizes estimates in [8] for approximation of periodic functions by Fourier sums and interpolatory polynomials in Hölder spaces. In particular, we give explicit values for constants appearing in Hölder norm results. © 1988 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be one of the usual spaces C or L^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) of 2π -periodic complex-valued functions. If $f \in C$, we write $\|f\|_\infty$ instead of $\|f\|_C$. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we denote by $X^{m, \alpha}$ the class of functions f which fulfil the following condition [2, Definition 1.5.5.]: There exists a 2π -periodic $(m-1)$ -times absolutely continuous function ϕ with $\phi^{(m)} \in X$ ($\phi \in X$ in the case $m = 0$), $f = \phi$ in X and

$$\|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p, \alpha} := \sup_{h \neq 0} |h|^{-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}(\circ + h) - \phi^{(m)}(\circ)\|_p < \infty.$$

A norm in $X^{m, \alpha}$ is given by

$$\|f\|_{p, m, \alpha} := \sum_{k=0}^m \|\phi^{(k)}\|_p + \|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p, \alpha}. \tag{1}$$

We consider, for $f \in X^{m, \alpha}$, the n th Fourier sum

$$(S_n f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x-u) K_n(u) du$$

with the Dirichlet kernel

$$K_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \cos kx.$$

Further, let $L_n f$ be the trigonometric interpolatory polynomial of degree n of a function $f \in C^{m, \alpha}$, based on the equidistant nodes $x_k = 2k\pi/(2n+1)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$):

$$(L_n f)(x) = \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} f(x_k) K_n(x - x_k).$$

We use the theorem of Jackson on the order of approximation in the following form:

THEOREM [1, Chap. 5]. For $n=0, 1, \dots$, $m=0, 1, \dots$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $f \in X^{m, \alpha}$, we have

$$E_n(f, X) \leq 3(n+1)^{-m-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p, \alpha} \quad (2)$$

and

$$E_n(f, X) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} (n+1)^{-m} \|\phi^{(m)}\|_p. \quad (3)$$

2. OPERATOR NORMS AND APPROXIMATION BY FOURIER SUMS

The norm of the operators S_n and L_n is estimated as follows.

LEMMA 1. For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\|S_n\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\pi^2} \ln n + c_n, & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \\ A_p, & \text{if } 1 < p < \infty \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

with

$$c_n = \begin{cases} 1, 436, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 1, 362, & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$A_p = \begin{cases} 4(p/(p-1))^{1/p} + 1, & \text{if } 1 < p < 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ 4p^{1-1/p} + 1, & \text{if } 2 < p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. To prove the first part of (4) we use the well-known convolution theorem [2, p. 10]

$$\|S_n f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|2K_n\|_1.$$

We get an estimation of $\|K_n\|_1$ from the representation of the remainder in [5]. In the case $1 < p < \infty$ the inequality (4) is an immediate consequence of

$$\|S_n f\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p \quad \text{for } f \in L^p \ (1 < p < \infty),$$

which is proved in [9, Chap. 7]. ■

LEMMA 2. *We have for $n \geq 1$*

$$\|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow X} \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 3A_p, & \text{if } 2 < p < \infty, \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \ln n + C_n, & \text{if } 2 < p \leq \infty, \end{cases}$$

with

$$C_n = \begin{cases} 5/3, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 1, 548, & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The Parseval equation [9, Chap. 10.2]

$$\|L_n f\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} |(L_n f)(x_k)|^2$$

yields

$$\sup_{\|f\|_\infty=1} \|L_n f\|_p \leq \sup_{\|f\|_\infty=1} \|L_n f\|_2 = 1, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2.$$

Generally for $1 < p < \infty$ the assertion follows from [9, Chap. 10]

$$\|L_n f\|_p \leq 3A_p \left\{ \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} |(L_n f)(x_k)|^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

If $X = C$, it is proved in [4]

$$\|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow C} = \frac{1}{2n+1} \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\sin \frac{2k+1}{4n+2} \pi \right)^{-1} \right\}. \tag{5}$$

Since

$$|(\sin x)^{-1} - x^{-1}| \leq 1 - 2/\pi \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \pi/2, x \neq 0$$

and

$$|(\sin x)^{-1} - x^{-1}| \leq \sqrt{2} - 4/\pi \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \pi/4, x \neq 0$$

by the monotonicity of the left side for $0 < x \leq \pi/2$, it follows that

$$\|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow C} \leq \frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{n}{2n+1} \left(1 + \sqrt{2} - \frac{6}{\pi}\right) + \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2n+1)2}{(2k+1)\pi}.$$

For $n \geq 20$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow C} &\leq \frac{1}{39} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{3}{\pi} + \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{19} \frac{1}{2k+1} + \frac{2}{\pi} \ln(2n-1) - \frac{2}{\pi} \ln 39 \\ &< 1, 545 + \frac{2}{\pi} \ln n \end{aligned}$$

as we stated. For $n = 1, 2, \dots, 19$ we get the assertion by easy calculations in (5). ■

Remark. With the same methods but sharper estimates we obtain $C_n < 1, 5$ for $n \geq 4$ and $c_n < 1, 3$ for $n \geq 7$.

THEOREM 1. *Supposing $f \in X^{m, \alpha}$ with $0 \leq r \leq m$, $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$, $r + \beta \leq m + \alpha$, $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\|f - S_n f\|_{p, r, \beta} \leq B(n, p) ((n+1)^\beta + 1) (n+1)^{r-m-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p, \alpha}$$

with

$$B(n, p) = \begin{cases} 14,616 + \frac{24}{\pi^2} \ln n, & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \\ 6A_p + 6, & \text{if } 1 < p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using $(S_n f)^{(k)} = S_n \phi^{(k)}$ ($0 \leq k \leq r$), we get

$$\|\phi^{(k)} - (S_n \phi)^{(k)}\|_p \leq (1 + \|S_n\|_{X \rightarrow X}) E_n(\phi^{(k)}, X). \quad (6)$$

With the notation

$$B_p = 1 + \|S_n\|_{X \rightarrow X}$$

it follows from (2) and (6) that

$$\|\phi^{(k)} - (S_n \phi)^{(k)}\|_p \leq 3B_p (n+1)^{k-m-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p, \alpha}. \quad (7)$$

Hence we obtain by summation

$$\sum_{k=0}^r \|\phi^{(k)} - (S_n \phi)^{(k)}\|_p \leq 6B_p(n+1)^{r-m-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p,\alpha}. \quad (8)$$

Now we define for brevity $G = \{h: |h| > 1/(n+1)\}$, $H = \{h: 0 < |h| \leq 1/(n+1)\}$, and

$$g_h(x) = \phi(x+h) - \phi(x). \quad (9)$$

Applying (7) we get for $h \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{h \in G} |h|^{-\beta} \|g_h^{(r)} - S_n g_h^{(r)}\|_p &\leq 2(n+1)^\beta \|\phi^{(r)} - S_n \phi^{(r)}\|_p \\ &\leq 6B_p(n+1)^{r-m+\beta-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p,\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In the case $h \in H$ we estimate with (6)

$$\sup_{h \in H} |h|^{-\beta} \|g_h^{(r)} - S_n g_h^{(r)}\|_p \leq \sup_{h \in H} |h|^{-\beta} B_p E_n(g_h^{(r)}, X).$$

If $\beta \leq \alpha$ it follows easily from (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{h \in H} |h|^{-\beta} B_p E_n(g_h^{(r)}, X) &\leq \sup_{h \in H} |h|^{-\beta} B_p \frac{\pi}{2} (n+1)^{r-m} \|g_h^{(m)}\|_p \\ &\leq B_p \frac{\pi}{2} (n+1)^{r-m+\beta-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p,\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let now $\alpha < \beta$ which implies $r < m$. Applying Jackson's theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{h \in H} |h|^{-\beta} B_p E_n(g_h^{(r)}, X) \\ &\leq \sup_{h \in H} |h|^{-\beta} B_p 3(n+1)^{r-m+1} \sup_{\delta \in H} \|g_h^{(m-1)}(\circ + \delta) - g_h^{(m-1)}(\circ)\|_p \\ &= 3(n+1)^{r-m+1} B_p \sup_{h \in H} \sup_{\delta \in H} \left\| |h|^{-\beta} \int_0^h g_\delta^{(m)}(\circ + u) du \right\|_p \\ &\leq 3(n+1)^{r-m+1} B_p \sup_{h \in H} |h|^{1-\beta} \sup_{\delta \in H} \|g_\delta^{(m)}\|_p \\ &\leq 3B_p(n+1)^{r-m+\beta-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p,\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The proof is complete, if we summarize (8), (10)–(12). ■

The n th Fourier sum of a function $f \in L^2$ is also the trigonometric polynomial of best approximation to f in L^2 . Therefore we can sharpen the theorem in this case. Under the same conditions it holds that

$$\|f - S_n f\|_{2, r, \beta} \leq 6((n+1)^\beta + 1)(n+1)^{r-m-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}\|_{2, \alpha}.$$

3. INTERPOLATION

At first we estimate the difference between Fourier sum $S_n f$ and interpolatory polynomial $L_n f$ for functions $f \in C^{m, \alpha}$.

THEOREM 2. *Supposing $f \in C^{m, \alpha}$ with $0 \leq r \leq m$, $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$, $r + \beta \leq m + \alpha$, $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\|S_n f - L_n f\|_{p, r, \beta} \leq C(n, p)((n+1)^\beta + 1)(n+1)^{r-m-\alpha} \|f^{(m)}\|_{C, \alpha}$$

with

$$C(n, p) = \begin{cases} 12, & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 24 A_p, & \text{if } 2 < p < \infty, \\ 18, 616 + \left(\frac{12}{\pi} + \frac{24}{\pi^2}\right) \ln n, & \text{if } 2 < p \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Applying the inequality of Bernstein, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S_n f)^{(k)} - (L_n f)^{(k)}\|_p &\leq n^k \|S_n f - L_n f\|_p \\ &\leq n^k (\|b_n - S_n f\|_p + \|b_n - L_n f\|_p), \end{aligned}$$

where b_n is the trigonometric polynomial of best approximation to f in C . With the help of (2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S_n f)^{(k)} - (L_n f)^{(k)}\|_p &\leq n^k E_n(f, C) (\|S_n\|_{C \rightarrow X} + \|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow X}) \\ &\leq 3(n+1)^{k-m-\alpha} \|f^{(m)}\|_{C, \alpha} (\|S_n\|_{C \rightarrow X} + \|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow X}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Further, we get

$$\sup_{h \neq 0} |h|^{-\beta} \|(S_n g_h)^{(r)} - (L_n g_h)^{(r)}\|_p \leq \sup_{h \neq 0} |h|^{-\beta} n^r \|S_n g_h - L_n g_h\|_p$$

with g_h defined in (9). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{h \in G} n^r |h|^{-\beta} \|S_n g_h - L_n g_h\|_p &\leq \sup_{h \in G} 2n^r |h|^{-\beta} \|S_n f - L_n f\|_p \\ &= 2n^r (n+1)^\beta \|S_n f - L_n f\|_p \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{h \in H} n^r |h|^{-\beta} \|S_n g_h - L_n g_h\|_p &\leq \sup_{h \in H} n^r |h|^{-\beta} E_n(g_h, C) \\ &\quad \times (\|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow X} + \|S_n\|_{C \rightarrow X}). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Now we must distinguish the cases $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $\alpha < \beta$ with $r < m$. We will consider only the first one, then the second case can be handled analogously as in (12).

Denoting the right side of (15) with A , we get for $\beta < \alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq \sup_{h \in H} \frac{\pi}{2} n^r (n+1)^{-m} |h|^{-\beta} \|g_h^{(m)}\|_C (\|S_n\|_{C \rightarrow X} + \|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow X}) \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} n^r (n+1)^{-m+\beta-\alpha} \|f^{(m)}\|_{C,\alpha} (\|S_n\|_{C \rightarrow X} + \|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow X}). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Now it is enough to collect (13) for $0 \leq k \leq r$, (14)–(16), to use $\|\circ\|_{p,r,\beta} \leq \|\circ\|_{2,r,\beta}$ for $1 \leq p < 2$ and we get the desired result. ■

The following main theorem is now a simple consequence of the estimates for $\|f - S_n f\|_{p,r,\beta}$ and $\|S_n f - L_n f\|_{p,r,\beta}$.

THEOREM 3. *Supposing $f \in C^{m,\alpha}$ with $0 \leq r \leq m$, $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$, $r + \beta \leq m + \alpha$, $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\|f - L_n f\|_{p,r,\beta} \leq D(n,p)(n+1)^{r-m+\beta-\alpha} \|f^{(m)}\|_{C,\alpha}$$

with

$$D(n,p) \leq \begin{cases} 36, & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 60A_p + 12, & \text{if } 2 < p < \infty, \\ 66, 464 + 17, 367 \ln n, & \text{if } 2 < p \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

4. COROLLARIES

Let us give some refinements of this estimate:

1. If $n \geq 2$ we can improve the estimates for

$$\sum_{k=0}^r (n+1)^k$$

and for c_n and C_n introduced in Lemmas 1 and 2. That is why it holds for $n \geq 2$ that

$$D(n, p) \leq \begin{cases} 31, 5, & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 52, 5 A_p + 10, 5, & \text{if } 2 < p < \infty, \\ 55, 356 + 15, 196 \ln n, & \text{if } 2 < p \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

and for $n \geq 7$ that

$$D(n, p) \leq \begin{cases} 28, 286, & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 47, 143 A_p + 9, 429, & \text{if } 2 < p < \infty, \\ 48, 086 + 13, 645 \ln n, & \text{if } 2 < p \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

Analogous improvements are possible for $B(n, p)$ in Theorem 1. The estimates are true for general $n, p, r, \beta, m, \alpha$. It is expected that for special values the constants are essentially smaller.

2. Since $A_p \gg \ln n$ for small n , it is better to take the constant with the \ln term at least for $n < 5 \cdot 10^8$ in Theorem 3 and at least for $n < 3.9 \cdot 10^5$ in Theorem 1.

3. It is easy to verify in the proofs that we can replace $\|\phi^{(m)}\|_{p, \alpha}$ in the right sides of Theorems 1–3 by

$$\sup_{h \in H} |h|^{-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}(\circ + h) - \phi^{(m)}(\circ)\|_p.$$

4. In [7] S. Prössdorf studied a closed subspace of $C^{0, \alpha}$. It is naturally to generalize this to the subspace $\tilde{X}^{m, \alpha} \subseteq X^{m, \alpha}$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$):

$$\tilde{X}^{m, \alpha} = \left\{ f \in X^{m, \alpha} : \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} |h|^{-\alpha} \|\phi^{(m)}(\circ + h) - \phi^{(m)}(\circ)\|_p = 0 \right\}$$

with norm defined in (1). Then $\tilde{X}^{m, 0} = X^{m, 0}$.

Therefore we state a corollary.

COROLLARY. Suppose $0 \leq r \leq m$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $r + \beta \leq m + \alpha$. Then it holds for $f \in \tilde{X}^{m, \alpha}$

$$\|f - S_n f\|_{p, r, \beta} = \begin{cases} o(n^{r-m+\beta-\alpha} \ln n), & \text{if } p = 1 \text{ or } p = \infty \\ o(n^{r-m+\beta-\alpha}), & \text{if } 1 < p < \infty \end{cases} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

and for $f \in \tilde{C}^{m, \alpha}$

$$\|f - L_n f\|_{p, r, \beta} = \begin{cases} o(n^{r-m+\beta-\alpha} \ln n), & \text{if } p = \infty, \\ o(n^{r-m+\beta-\alpha}), & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \end{cases} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Remark. In [6] R. Haverkamp proved for $k \geq 1$ and $f \in C^{k, 0}$,

$$\|f^{(k)} - (L_n f)^{(k)}\|_C \leq \left(1 + C_k \left(2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \ln n\right)\right) \mathring{E}_n(f^{(k)}, C) \quad (17)$$

with $C_k = ((\pi/2)^k(\pi + 2) - 2\pi)/(\pi - 2)$ and \mathring{E}_n means that the infimum is taken over all trigonometric polynomials p_n of degree $\leq n$ with $S_0 p_n = 0$. From (6) and (13) we have for $f \in C^{k, 0}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^{(k)} - (L_n f)^{(k)}\|_p &\leq (1 + \|S_n\|_{C \rightarrow X}) E_n(f^{(k)}, C) \\ &\quad + n^k (\|S_n\|_{C \rightarrow X} + \|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow X}) E_n(f, C). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

With the inequality

$$E_n(f, C) \leq \mathring{E}_n(f, C) \leq \frac{\pi}{2n+2} \mathring{E}_n(f', C) \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{2n+2}\right)^k \mathring{E}_n(f^{(k)}, C)$$

which follows from [3, Chap. 43], we get

$$\|f^{(k)} - (L_n f)^{(k)}\|_p \leq D(k, n, p) \mathring{E}_n(f^{(k)}, C) \quad (19)$$

with

$$D(k, n, p) = 1 + \|S_n\|_{C \rightarrow X} + \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^k (\|S_n\|_{C \rightarrow X} + \|L_n\|_{C \rightarrow X}).$$

Using Lemma 1 and 2 we obtain estimates for $D(k, n, p)$. If $k \geq 2$, $p = \infty$, they are better than (17). But, if we want to apply Jackson's theorem, it is useful to do this in (18) instead of (19), since $D(k, n, p) > 2(\pi/2)^k$. Such a result, where the constant is independent of k is contained in Theorem 3.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Dr. M. Tasche for the many helpful discussions and suggestions during the preparation of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. N. I. ACHIESER, "Vorlesungen über Approximationstheorie," Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
2. P. L. BUTZER AND R. J. NESSEL, "Fourier Analysis and Approximation," Vol. 1, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1971.
3. E. W. CHENEY, "Introduction to Approximation Theory," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
4. H. EHLICH AND K. ZELLER, Auswertung der Normen von Interpolationsoperatoren, *Math. Ann.* **164** (1966), 105–112.
5. G. H. HARDY, Note on Lebesgue's constants in the theory of Fourier series, *J. London Math. Soc.* **17** (1942), 4–13.
6. R. HAVERKAMP, Approximationsgüte der Ableitungen bei trigonometrischer Interpolation, *Math. Z.* **179** (1982), 59–67.
7. S. PRÖSSDORF, Zur Konvergenz der Fourierreihen hölderstetiger Funktionen, *Math. Nachr.* **69** (1975), 7–14.
8. S. PRÖSSDORF AND B. SILBERMANN, "Projektionsverfahren und die näherungsweise Lösung singulärer Gleichungen," Teubner, Leipzig, 1977.
9. A. ZYGMUND, "Trigonometric Series," Vols. I and II, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1959.